

1 HONORABLE THOMAS S. ZILLY
2
3
4
5
6
7
8

9
10 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
11 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE
12
13

14 STUART WHEELER,
15

16 Plaintiff,
17

18 v.
19

20 BNSF RAILWAY COMPANY, a Delaware
21 corporation,
22

23 Defendant.
24

25 No. 2:15-cv-00385-TSZ
26

27 **DEFENDANT BNSF RAILWAY
COMPANY'S ANSWER AND
AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES**

28 **JURY DEMAND**
29

30 COMES NOW defendant BNSF Railway Company ("BNSF"), by and through its
31 attorneys Montgomery Scarp, PLLC, and for answer and affirmative defenses to plaintiff's
32 Complaint on file herein, admits, denies and alleges as follows:

33 **I. PARTIES**
34

35 1.1. Answering paragraph 1.1 of plaintiff's Complaint, BNSF currently lacks sufficient
36 information to form a belief as to the truth of the matters averred therein, and therefore denies the
37 same.
38

39 1.2. Answering paragraph 1.2 of plaintiff's Complaint, BNSF admits that it is a
40 corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware.
41

42 **II. JURISDICTION AND VENUE**
43

44 2.1. Answering paragraph 2.1 of plaintiff's Complaint, BNSF admits that it is not a
45

citizen of Washington State, it is not incorporated in Washington, and does not have its principal place of business in Washington. BNSF currently lacks sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining matters averred therein, and therefore denies the same.

2.2. Answering paragraph 2.2 of plaintiff's Complaint, BNSF admits that to the extent the Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this matter, venue is proper. BNSF admits that the incident giving rise to this lawsuit occurred in this judicial district. To the extent that paragraph 2.2 differs from the above, BNSF denies the same.

III. FACTS

3.1. Answering paragraph 3.1 of plaintiff's Complaint, admitted.

3.2. Answering paragraph 3.2 of plaintiff's Complaint, BNSF admits that a BNSF vehicle driven by Mr. Hawkins that day was parked in front of the BNSF offices at 2900 Bond Street.

3.3. Answering paragraph 3.3, BNSF admits that Elgin Hawkins was employed by BNSF and was acting within the scope of his employment at the time of the motorcycle/vehicle collision giving rise to this lawsuit.

3.4. Answering paragraph 3.4 of plaintiff's Complaint, BNSF admits that Mr. Hawkins backed his vehicle up prior to the motorcycle/vehicle collision giving rise to this lawsuit. BNSF currently lacks sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining matters averred therein and therefore denies the same.

3.5. Answering paragraph 3.5 of plaintiff's Complaint, BNSF admits that plaintiff's motorcycle collided with the vehicle operated by Mr. Hawkins. BNSF currently lacks sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining matters averred therein and therefore denies the same.

3.6. Answering paragraph 3.6 of plaintiff's Complaint, BNSF admits that plaintiff was injured as a result of his motorcycle colliding with the vehicle operated by Mr. Hawkins. The remaining matters averred therein call for a legal conclusion that does not require a response. To

1 the extent a response may be required, BNSF denies liability for plaintiff's claimed damages.

2 **IV. CAUSE OF ACTION**

3 4.1. Answering paragraph 4.1 of plaintiff's Complaint, BNSF realleges its responses to
4 paragraphs 1.1-3.6 above.

5 4.2. Answering paragraph 4.2, denied.

6 4.3. Answering Paragraph 4.3, denied.

7 4.4. Answering Paragraph 4.4, BNSF admits that Elgin Hawkins was employed by
8 BNSF and acting within the scope of his employment at the time of the motorcycle/vehicle
9 collision giving rise to this lawsuit; however, the remaining matters averred are denied.

10 **AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES**

11 Without admitting any matters previously denied, BNSF alleges the following affirmative
12 defenses:

13 1. Plaintiff's Complaint, in whole or in part, fails to state a claim upon which relief
14 can be granted.

15 2. The injuries sustained by plaintiff and resulting damages were caused in whole or
16 in part by plaintiff's own negligence, such that plaintiff's recovery of damages, if any, must be
17 denied or diminished in proportion to plaintiff's own negligence.

18 3. Plaintiff's damages or injuries, if any, may have been proximately caused by the
19 actions of third-parties, unavoidable consequences, or other acts or omissions beyond the
20 direction, supervision or control of BNSF.

21 4. Plaintiff is not entitled to any damages for injuries that were sustained by plaintiff
22 that were caused by events other than those alleged in plaintiff's Complaint.

23 5. Plaintiff is not entitled to damages for any physical conditions from which he
24 suffers to the extent that those conditions preexisted in whole or in part prior to the happening of
25 the incident alleged in the Complaint.

6. Plaintiff's medical treatment may not have been incurred as a result of conditions caused by the incident of which plaintiff complains.

7. All or part of plaintiff's damages, if any, are barred, in whole or in part, to the extent that Plaintiff failed to mitigate his damages, if any.

8. BNSF reserves the right to amend this answer and affirmative defenses to allege additional defenses, counterclaims, and any third-party claims as may be identified in the course of investigation and discovery.

JURY DEMAND

Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 38(b), defendant herein requests a trial by a jury of twelve in the above-referenced matter.

DATED this 22nd day of April 2015.

Montgomery Scarp, PLLC

s/ Bradley Scarp
s/ Michael Chait
s/ Elizabeth Findley
Bradley Scarp, WSBA #21453
Michael Chait, WSBA #48842
Elizabeth Findley, WSBA #46990
brad@montgomeryscarp.com
mike@montgomeryscarp.com
liz@montgomeryscarp.com

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I am over the age of 18; and not a party to this action. I am the assistant to an attorney with Montgomery Scarp, PLLC, whose address is 1218 Third Avenue, Suite 2500, Seattle, Washington, 98101.

I hereby certify that a true and complete copy of *DEFENDANT BNSF RAILWAY COMPANY'S ANSWER AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES* has been filed with the United States District Court via the ECF system which gives automatic notification to the following interested party:

Michael David Myers
Myers & Company, PLLC
1530 Eastlake Avenue East
Seattle, Washington 98102

I declare under penalty under the laws of the State of Washington that the foregoing information is true and correct.

DATED this 22nd day of April, 2015, at Seattle, Washington.

s/Tyler Campbell
Tyler Campbell, Paralegal